DESIGN FOR MANUFACTURING (DFM) GEOMETRIC VALIDATION STANDARD

DFM Essentials: Wall Thickness, Draft Angles, and Parting Line Optimization

Document Ref: WP-2026-DFM136-18 | Target Framework: Tooling Feasibility & Rheological Part Optimization | Reemane Tooling Design Division

1. Executive Summary: The Consequence of Misaligned Elastomeric CAD Design

In B2B manufacturing procurement and custom product deployment, aligning component geometry with physical material constraints during the CAD layout review phase is crucial. Mechanical design teams often apply thermoplastic manufacturing assumptions directly to Liquid Silicone Rubber (LSR) or High Consistency Rubber (HCR) components. While thermoplastics require strict compliance with rigid flow rules to prevent short shots and cracking, soft elastomers behave differently during vulcanization.

Silicone's elastic deformation properties allow for complex geometries, undercuts, and negative draft angles that would destroy a rigid plastic part during ejection. However, its low viscosity means that unoptimized parting lines or improper wall transitions can cause manufacturing defects, including out-of-tolerance flash, shrinkage drift, and structural voids. This engineering framework defines the fundamental rules of silicone Design for Manufacturing (DFM), optimizing wall thicknesses, draft angles, and parting line placement to ensure predictable mass production.

> ***Tooling Axiom: Optimizing silicone components at the CAD level reduces initial tooling modification loops, minimizes manual trimming work, and lowers unit manufacturing costs.***

2. Wall Thickness Optimization: Controlling Cure Kinetics and Volumetric Shrinkage

Unlike rigid plastics, which rely on uniform cooling phases, silicone rubber requires high-temperature thermal cross-linking to cure. The cross-linking rate scales with heat transfer through the cavity walls. Unbalanced wall profiles create internal thermal variation within the mold cavity. Thin cross-sections cure almost instantly, while thick interior blocks lag behind, resulting in scorch marks, uneven cross-linking density, and localized sink marks.

For solid industrial silicone components, design prints should specify a uniform wall thickness range between ***1.5 mm and 4.0 mm***. When design requirements dictate shifting wall dimensions, transitions should incorporate a gradual taper or generous radius rather than a sharp 90-degree step. Sudden wall variations disrupt the flow front and lead to localized volumetric shrinkage drift, which is modeled by the following relationship:

S_{ ext{total}} = S_{ ext{linear}} imes \left(1 + lpha \cdot \Delta T_{ ext{cure}} ight)

Where S_{total} is the actual shrinkage magnitude, S_{linear} represents baseline linear material shrinkage, and $\alpha \cdot \Delta T_{\text{cure}}$ represents thermal expansion changes across the cross-section. Reemane's tooling division recommends a maximum wall thickness ratio of $2:1$ between adjacent features, paired with internal transition radii of at least $R = 0.5 \cdot t_{\text{wall}}$ to distribute internal stresses and prevent flow stagnation.

3. Draft Angles for Soft Elastomers: Maximizing Ejection Reliability

In thermoplastic injection molding, draft angles are required along vertical walls to prevent parts from dragging against steel surfaces as they shrink onto the mold cores. Silicone rubber exhibits a different behavior. During vulcanization, the elastomer expands thermally before undergoing volumetric cross-linking shrinkage, causing it to grip tightly around internal mold cores.

Because silicone is highly flexible, components can often tolerate zero or negative draft angles, bending out of the way during manual or mechanical removal. However, for high-volume automated lines using automated brush or pick-and-place demolding, relying on material flexibility can cause issues. Deep vertical walls with zero draft introduce sliding friction that can tear soft, low-tear-strength compounds. To ensure reliable automated ejection, implement the following draft guidelines:

Draft Angle Optimization Guidelines

Feature Element Type	Minimum Practical Draft	Recommended Optimum Draft	Automated Demolding Impact
Shallow Outer Walls	0.0° (Zero Draft possible)	**0.5° to 1.0°**	Eliminates sliding drag, prevents surface scuffing
Deep Internal Core Pins	0.5°	**1.0° to 2.0°**	Reduces mechanical suction, stops part tearing
Micro-Textured Ribs	1.5°	2.0° to 3.0°	Prevents micro-stretching and cosmetic ghosting
Negative Undercuts	Permissible up to 35%	Dependent on Elongation %	Requires manual stripping or air-blast assistance

4. Parting Line Optimization & Advanced Flash-Trap Engineering

Because liquid silicone rubber features a very low viscosity under injection pressures, it flows into microscopic gaps as small as 0.005 mm. If mold plates do not seal tightly at the parting line, material escapes to form flash. Optimizing parting line placement along the part geometry is a critical step in silicone tool engineering.

Parting lines should be positioned along the widest perimeter edge of the part, allowing the mold plates to split cleanly along a flat plane. Avoid placing parting lines on functional sealing faces or high-visibility cosmetic surfaces, as micro-steps along worn edges can compromise seal performance or detract from part appearance. For high-precision applications like medical diaphragms or automotive electrical connectors, Reemane utilizes an advanced **Rigid Shut-off Groove (Flash Trap)** design built directly into the steel tooling:

[Image of a tool cross-section showing a high-precision groove step parting line layout with a flash trap overflow reservoir]

The parting line land width is restricted to exactly *W = 0.4 ext{ mm to }0.6 ext{ mm}*. This thin land surface area concentrates the clamping force, creating high localized pressure that stops material flow. Directly adjacent to this land, a secondary overflow reservoir is cut at a depth of *0.25 ext{ mm}*. This channel captures micro-bleed material, ensuring that any residual flash breaks away cleanly from the component body without requiring manual trimming.

5. DFM Framework for Blueprint Layouts and Sourcing Optimization

To ensure consistent quality and process control when finalizing custom component prints for production runs, engineering drawings should incorporate three key DFM guidelines:

- **Implement Coring on Heavy Bosses:** Solid sections thicker than 8.0 mm must incorporate coring pockets or internal recesses. This keeps wall profiles within the optimal 2:1 ratio, preventing long cure cycles and interior vacuum voids.
- **Incorporate Generous Internal Fillets:** All sharp internal intersection corners should specify a minimum fillet radius of *0.5 mm*. Sharp corners create stress concentration zones that restrict material flow and make parts vulnerable to tearing at the parting line.
- **Align Ejector Interferences to Non-Functional Faces:** Mechanical ejector pin witness marks, gating entries, and venting overflows must be positioned away from primary sealing paths or cosmetic surfaces to maintain part function and appearance.

Minimize Tooling Loop Latencies with Expert Silicone DFM Reviews

Eliminate costly tool modifications, prevent out-of-tolerance flash lines, and optimize wall profiles to ensure stable material flow during mass production. To coordinate a formal geometric DFM CAD layout review, request a tooling flash-trap design proposal, or review material shrinkage logs, contact our engineering division directly at sales@siliconefactories.com or visit our manufacturing platform at www.siliconefactories.com.